

Meeting Title

MINUTES

OCTOBER 16, 2017

8:00 AM

XAVIER 210

CALLED TO ORDER BY	Luis Proenca at 8:05am
ATTENDEES	Arnab Banerji, Vince Coletta, Susie McDaniel, Matt Petrusek, Luis Proenca, Martina Ramirez, and Hai Tran.
OTHER	

Agenda topics

AGENDA TOPIC DESCRIPTION #5

DISCUSSION	<p>The Committee considered the following request from the Faculty Senate: The Faculty Senate is committed to developing a better and fairer system to evaluate teaching. We are thus requesting that the Committee on Excellence in Teaching return to the analysis and recommendations of the Committee on the Comprehensive Evaluation of Teaching, with the goal of doing three things:</p> <p>(1) Developing university-wide guidelines for how student evaluations are best used in the evaluation of faculty for the purposes of merit and tenure and promotion; (2) assessing the current student evaluation tool to determine if a revision is required, including to determine whether it is appropriate as an online tool (two schools currently use online evaluations, and other colleges are considering it); (3) Identifying alternate methods of evaluating teaching effectiveness, paying particular attention to disciplinary differences.</p> <p>The CTE website has been recently updated with documents related to research on the Evaluation of Teaching and the results from the CTE's survey to all LMU faculty, which provides a picture of the faculty's views about SETs. The CTE currently has a panel, which will be giving a presentation summarizing and explaining research on SETs and other methods of evaluating teaching.</p> <p>In addressing such a complex procedure as SETs, the CET discussed questions/topics including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The value, structure, and consistency of each college and department's guidelines and requirements for SETs. • How to build and implement unbiased and appropriate SETs. • How can the SETs accurately assess if the teachers are fully engaging the class in their teaching style or if they are cutting corners. • Question 8 of the SET is a particular issue when trying to accurately assess teaching effectiveness, as recent research shows either zero correlation or negative correlation of SET scores and reasonable measures of effectiveness. 	
CONCLUSION	<p>The CET will continue the conversation regarding evaluation of teaching for the rest of the semester or until a resolution has been found. For the next meeting the CTE director, and any other members who wishes to, will write up a draft proposal addressing the issues raised by the Faculty Senate, and the draft proposal(s) will be discussed at the next meeting.</p>	
ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
CET members will continue the discussion next meeting.		

ADJOURNED BY	Luis Proenca at 9:03am.
SUBMITTED BY	Katie Robertstad
PENDING APPROVAL	