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Abstract

The distribution and maintenance of urban tree coverage in Los Angeles is an issue of environmental justice. Researchers have found that there is a direct relationship between tree coverage and income and race in the city. Los Angeles has a tree planting initiative, called “City Plants,” with a goal that people in every neighborhood have equal access to trees and their benefits. Yet it is not clear how or whether tree planting organizations embody this in their practices. To address this problem, the purpose of this study is to examine how decisions on planting locations are made by planting groups, the challenges barriers these groups face, and whether these barriers led them to plant trees in certain neighborhoods rather than others. The data will be collected through interviewing different groups (both nonprofit and city agencies) involved in planting trees around Los Angeles. Tree planting groups will be able to use findings to help them become more aware of how they approach environmental justice in their practices, and their role in the equitable distribution of urban trees. This information may prompt changes to tree planting approaches, funding, and policy making.

Introduction

Background

Researchers have discovered that “Los Angeles’s existing tree canopy cover was 21%, and ranged from 7 to 37% by council district. There was potential to add 2.5 million additional trees to the existing population of approximately 10.8 million” (McPherson, et al., 2011). The problem is that the areas that have the least amount of tree coverage, compared to those areas that are covered, are districts and neighborhoods with particularly lower income and are comprised of minorities (McPherson, et al., 2011; Figure 1). This demonstrates how the placement of urban forests and trees in LA is an issue of environmental racism, in particular distributive justice.

Research Question

What are the challenges tree planting groups and programs face, and how are these challenges affecting the unequal distribution of urban trees in Los Angeles?”

Methods

Data Collection Approach

• The research involves qualitative semi-structured interviews with five different groups involved in City Plants. The groups include both nonprofit and city agency programs. 10 interview questions are asked regarding the relationship between tree coverage and environmental justice in their respective tree planting group.

• Data is collected during interviews through audio recording and then transcribed into written dialogue.

• Analyses Performed

Analyses of the data will occur through a process of thematic coding (Creswell, 2016). The interviews will be conducted in a systematic way that allows themes to emerge as responses are given.

Additional Research Information

Figure 1. A tree-lined street in Los Angeles (top). The Tree Canopy Coverage Assessment (TCC) showing the distribution of TCC across Los Angeles council districts (bottom, from McPherson et al., 2011).

Alongside the TCC data from Figure 1, Census data allows us to describe the demographics of the council districts with highest and lowest canopy cover. The disparities clearly highlight the inequitable distribution of trees, which is the motivation for this research:

- Lowest TCC:
  - District 8: runs from the Staples Center to some of the poorest neighborhoods in LA; predominantly Latino area
  - District 15: includes the neighborhoods of San Pedro, Wilmington, Harbor City, Harbor Gateway and Watts—another challenged community; mostly comprised of Latino residents

- Highest:
  - District 5: communities on Westside of Los Angeles from Hollywood to Bel air—some of the wealthiest neighborhoods
  - District 4: situated in Central Los Angeles; the southern San Fernando Valley, and eastern Santa Monica Mountains—almost half of residents are Caucasian

Anticipated Results and Impacts

Expected Interviewee Groups:

- Tree People
- Northeast Trees
- Koreatown Youth & Community Center
- Los Angeles Beautification Team
- LA Conservation Corps

Sample Interview Questions:

• Where have you planted trees in the past and where are you currently planting them?
• What are the biggest challenges of planting trees that your group faces?
• What are you doing besides planting trees to ensure that these neighborhoods see longevity in their trees?

Expected Results

• Based on preliminary interview responses, I suspect that the biggest challenge these planting groups are facing is to get residents to sign up for tree planting on their property.

• Results will most likely show the lack of public education or campaign push to promote trees, thus residents are unaware of the importance of urban trees in their neighborhoods.

• In order to plant trees on residential streets, tree planting groups have to talk one on one with residents and because of that, people in lower income areas could be too busy with several jobs to take the time to talk to these groups, resulting in lower tree canopy coverage.

Significance of Study

A report of the completed research will be sent back to the participating organizations. The results intend to inform future management and tree planting practices in Los Angeles. If the public has more awareness of the challenges that tree planting groups are facing, the groups may receive more credibility for the work that they have done and continue to do around the county. Tree planting groups will be able to use the information to help mitigate the problem of unequal distribution of urban trees in Los Angeles.
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